В	4	w	2	р.	>	b X	П
	Details of Certificate Courses/ Value added Courses addressing cross cutting issues introduced in the last two Years	Departmental Records to be verified at Institutional level	Implementation of Annual Institutional Plan	Curriculum Design and Development (For Autonomous Colleges)		Item	
Academic Flexibility &	Department wise Reports of Certificate Courses / Value added Courses conducted addressing cross cutting issues	 Sem wise Curricular Plans Departmental Meeting Minutes BOS records POs/PSOs/COs records Extra curricular & Co curricular Activity reports 	 Annual Institutional Plan College & Departmental Activity Register Departmental Annual Curricular Plan Teaching Diary 	 Departmental meeting Minutes BoS Minutes Academic Council Minutes Copics of course wise curriculum 	Curricular Planning and Imp	Records to be verified	Academic & Administrative Audit: 2021-22 Format-II (Institutional Data Cum Academic Advisor's Grading)
Academic Flexibility & Curriculaum Enrichment	Grade A, If all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, If 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, If below 50% Departments conduct the course	Grade A, if all the two records are maintained Grade B, if any four records are maintained Grade C, if any two records are maintained	Grade A, If all the four records match with one another Grade B, If two records match with one another Grade C, If any two records match with each other	Grade A, If 60% and above modification in syllabi of all cources offered; Grade B, If 40%-60% of modification in syllabi of all cources offered; Grade C, If less than 40% modification in syllabi of all cources offered;	Curricular Planning and Implementation	Bench Marks	ative Audit : 2021-22 n Academic Advisor's Grading)
	В	>	>	N.		Self Grade assessme Acade nt by the Adviss Institutio after n verifi fAfGo	
	B	A	D			Self Grade by assessme Academic nt by the Advisors (AA*) Institutio after n verification fA(Good1/B	
						Recommen datio n/Suggestio ns by Academic Advisors	

_						
		0	C 8	7	6	v
	Overall Grade	Collection , Consolidation, Analysis and Action taken	No. of New UG & PG Programmes introduced during the last two years	Coverage of Syllabus (Average Percentage)	Implementation of Online Courses (MOOCs etc)	Institution's contribution to enrichment of curriculum
II-TEACHING, LEARNING & EVALUATION		 Collection and Consolidation of feedback from students, faculty, alumni, and parents; Analysis Action Taken Reports (BoS Minutes to be compared and verified in the case of Autonomous Colleges) 	List of New UG & PG Programmes along with affiliation certificates	Department wise reports about coverage of syllabus	Departmental evidences of online courses with proofs (Reports and Certificates)	1.Department wise Reports on cross cutting issues related to professional ethics, gender, human values, environment and sustainability into the curriculum; 2. Course wise reports from Departments indicating justification for revising/enriching the curriculum
NG & EVALUATION		Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) & 2 (Analysis) are done Grade C, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) is done	Grade A, if 2 or more programmes are introduced Grade B, if only one programme is introduced Grade C, if no programme is introduced Feedback on curriculum	Grade A, if 90% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments Grade B, if 60% - 90% syllabus is covered by the Departments the Departments Grade C, if less than 40% - 60% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments	Grade A, if all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, if 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, if below 50% Departments conduct the course	Grade A, If all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, If 50% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, If below 50% Departments produce the reports
	Þ	>	>	۶	C	>
	X	Þ	\supset	D	0	A
						×

A Average enrolment percentage for the last 3 Admission register from the office Consolidated Grade A. If two year reports are produced Sanctioned Seatax 100] Composition of Seatax 100															
Average empirical productions admitted / Seats (Group wise) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Roof applications received) Report from the Frincipal Carde B, If two year reports are produced (Grade B, If two year reports are produced (Grade B, If two year reports are maintained (Grade B, If two year reports are maintained (Grade B, If two year reports are maintained (Grade B, If improperly maintai				`		6	C)	6	4 6	\top	ω	2	1 -	P	
Enrolment and Profile Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade A, if only one year report is produced Grade A, if all the reports are maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade B, if inproperly maintained Grade B, if inproperly maintained to Student Diversity Sm Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit				lotal no. of students enrolled in the institution/ Total no. of full time teachers		Details of special programmes organized by the departments for advanced learners and slow learners	Institutional mechanism for assessing the learning levels of the students		enroled for the last two years	Conjugation of the of the orthographic	(Seats earmarked / Seats filled)	(No.of applications received/ Sanctioned Seats) (Overall ratio)	Average enrolment percentage for the last 3 years (Total no. of students admitted / Sanctioned Seats x 100)		
Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade C, if only one year report is produced Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade C, if only one year report is produced Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade C, if not maintained Grade C, if not maintained Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented Grade A, if 35% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments Submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments Submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments Submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit	Lecturerwise and Department wise proots validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Department wise Reports on Experiential learning, Participative Learning, Problem Solving methods with model examples - validated by IOAC and verified by Principal	Teaching Lea		Consolidated Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Departmental Reports with proofs validated by IQAC and verified by Principal by the Principal	Consolidated statement about mechanism and list of Department wise Slow and Advanced Learners validated by IQAC and verified by	Catering to St	office and verified by the Principal		Year wise, Category wise report of the Principal	Year wise report of the Principal	he offic	Student Enrol	
	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	arning Process	presented		Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments	all two are presented	tudent Diversity	Grade A, if all the repo Grade B, if improperly Grade C, if not maintain			Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade C. if only one year report is produced	Grade A, If two year reports are produced Grade B, If two year reports are produced Grade C, If only one year report is produced	ment and Profile	
	В	>			>	В	Þ		Α	Α		A	>		
	B	A		·	D	B	A		A		₽	A	A		

No. c MOO 17 etc.,	16 17	5	14 1	ᇤ	12 9	100	10
of Teachers completed courses on ICS platform/ARPIT, MIT, Coursera	No. of lessons presented (4 Quadrants) in LMS portal/ Presentation tube by Faculty	Usage of Virtual classes (Minimum 2 classes per subject per month)	Use of ICT in teaching, learning and evaluation (Plickers, Kahoot, Web Quest etc.,) Lesson plans should reflect ICT based planning in teaching	Teaching Diaries & Teaching/Semester Plans in Prescribed Formats	Ratio of Final year students undertaking field trip or project or internships (No.of students attending field trip or project/ Total No. of Final year students)	Bridge course and Remedial en for slow learners (Subject ester)	Value based Activities such as SIP (Student Induction Programme) / Value Education/Gender Sensitisation/Managing Peer pressure conducted
Course completion certificates by Departments	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Department wise Virtual Class reports Log books related to the Virtual Class rooms	Department wise ICT usage reports	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Reports by Committees concerned
Grade A, if 75% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade B, if 50% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade C, if <50% of the Teachers submit Certificates	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% of the Departments State C, if <50% of the Departments submit reports	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	Grade A, If all activites conducted Grade B, If any 3 activities conducted Grade C, If any 2 activities conducted
C	C	>	В	>	٨	В	>
7	7	A	Ø	A	P	Ø	<i>></i>
			7				

N							
National/International/Regional 24 Seminars/conferences/workshops organised in the last two years	23 Full time teachers with Ph.D	Percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts in the last two years 22 (Regular+ Contract + Guest)	21 Staff Attendance	D	The number of classes (per week) 20 engaged by the Principal	Academic, Cultural & Sports events organized (International/ National/ State/ District/ Inter Collegiate/ College Level	Co-Curricular Activities (Academic) (Student Seminars/Quizzes, debates/elocution, essay writing/ Projects/Peer Learning/ Group Learning/ Youth Parliament etc.)
Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Consolidated Report from the Principal	Consolidated Report from the Principal	Staff Attendance Registers &TLP Records (Current Year)	Teacher P	Lesson Plans and Teaching Diary by Principals	Reports by Departments and Committees validated by IQAC	Co-Curricular Activities (Academic) (Student Seminars/Quizzes, debates/elocution, essay writing/ Projects/Peer Learning/ Group Learning/ Youth Parliament etc.) Reports by Departments validated by IQAC
Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are organized (at least 5per year)	Grade A, if 75% teachers with Ph.D Grade B, if 60% teachers with Ph.D Grade C, if <60% teachers with Ph.D	Grade A, if 100% full time teachers Grade B, if 50% full time teachers Grade C, if <50% full time teachers	Grade A, if 75% attendance through out the year Grade B, if 60% attendance through out the year Grade C, if <60% attendance through out the year Grade C, if <60% attendance	Teacher Profile Quality	are Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only one is maintained Grade C, if only classes are engaged	Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5) Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5) Grade C, if District and College level events	Grade A, If 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, If <50% of the Departments submit reports
0	B	>	>		>	>	>
, C	В	¥	7		P	A	A

			,			
77	29	28	27	26	Ħ	25
	29 Student mentoring report (Year wise)	Teacher wise result analysis (Last Two years)	Record of Continuous Internal Assessment (CIA) - Conduct of Internal Examinations-Internal assessment	Mechanism of transparent and accurate internal assessment		Seminars/conferences/workshops 25 attended in the last two years (Overall Staff Attended)
Student Performance	Teacher wise periodical Mentoring Reports	Teacher/College wise reports	Department wise reports regarding 1. Mid exams, Seminar Reports, Assignment reports Grabooks, Projects and any other tools of Internal Assessment 2. Departmental Internal Marks Register for the reports CIA verified by the Principal	1. Announcement of date (Circular) 2. Announcement of syllabus for exam (Department Meeting minutes and Circular) 3. Blue print of the test as per Bloom's Taxonomy 4. Conduct of exam without malpractices (Circular showing the internal squad) 5. Correction and distribution of scripts within the prescribed time 6. Feedback and guidance to the students on One on One basis (Report by Teacher)	Evaluation Proc	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC
Student Performance and Learning Outcomes	Grade A, if 100% Teachers maintain all records Grade B, If 75% Teachers maintain all records Grade C, if <75% Teachers maintain all records	Grade A, if 100% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade B, if 75% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade C, if <75% IStudents pass percentage is achieved	Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 75% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 75% Departments produce the reports	Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 75% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 75% Departments produce the reports	Evaluation Process and Reforms	Grade A, if International and National events are attended (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are attended (at least 5 per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are attended (at least 5 per year)
	A	٨	A	A		· C
	A	A	P	>		<i>C</i>
						;

5	В	4	ω	2	Į L	A	Γ		33	32	G	31	30
Grants received from Govt & Non Govt Agencies for Research projects / endowments in the last two years		- W	Research Scholars working for Ph. D Maior/Minor/Other Research Projects	ge	College has a Recognized Research Centre, Centre for Innovation and Research Promotion Council			Overall Grade	Percentage of Students participated in Student Satisfaction Survey conducted at the end of each Academic Year (Programme wise) (Previous Academic Year)	Record of Feed back and analysis on Teachers by Students		Evaluation of attainment of Programme Outcomes and Course Outcomes	Announcement of Programme Outcomes and Course Outcomes by the Departments
Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Resource Mobilizatio	verified by the Principal	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal Report by Research Committee Coordinator,	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Sanctioned Letter Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Promotion of Res	III-RESEARCH, INNOVATIONS AND EXTENSION		Reports by IQAC	Feedback Collection, Consolidation, Analysis Grade A, if all the two are done and Action taken on any two are done Grade C, if on a. Curriculum b. Facilities C. Teachers C. T	Student Satis	Programme wise Report on the comparative analysis of Results, Students' progression to Higher Education and Employability	1.Department wise displays and announcements in the classrooms, in the institution and on the website 2. Registers showing POs, PSOs and COs alongwith the notification of announcement and the signatures of students
Grade A, if > 3 Lkh Grade B, if <3lkh Grade C, if there is no funding	Resource Mobilization for Research Purpose	pending Grade C, if there is no Research Project	Grade A, if Active Research Work is going on Grade B, if Research Work is pending Grade C, if there is no Research Work Grade A, if MRP submitted Grade B, If MRP is	Grade A, if > 50% are Research Guides Grade B, if > 30% are Research Guides Grade C, if < 30% are Research Guides	Grade A, if Research Centre is functioning Grade B, if Research Centre is established Grade C, if there is no Research Centre	Promotion of Research and Facilities	IONS AND EXTENSION		Grade A, if 80% of students participated Grade B, if 50% of students participated Grade C, if < 50% of students participated	Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if any two are done Grade C, if only one is done	Student Satisfaction Survey	Grade A, if all Programmes are evaluated Grade B, if 75% Programmes are evaluated Grade C, if <75% Programmes are evaluated	Grade A, If 100% Departments maintain all records Grade B, If 75% Departments maintain all records Grade C, If <75% Departments maintain all records
С		Ć	ი ი	0	C			A	>	>		٨	A
7		7	ν Λ	<u></u>	C			#	, >	A		A	A

12	11	10	9	ω	C	7	6
No. of Books and Chapters in edited volumes/Books and papers published in National and International conferences (with ISBN)	11 No of Indexed Publications (h&I)	No of Papers published per teacher in the UGC notified journals (SCOPUS, Web of 10 Science, ICI) for the last 2 years	Books Published for the last two years (Single Author/Co Author)	Papers Presented for the last two years (International/ National/ State level conferences and seminars)		The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other initiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations /Entreprenuership Hub)	Financial Assistance extended by the institution to the faculty members for participating/ presenting research papers in national/ international seminars/ workshops etc to foster research culture.
Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Research Public	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal
Grade A, if each Department publishes one book/ Chapter Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one book each/ Chapter Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one book	Grade A if more than h-index is 2; Grade B if it is upto1; Clif it is zero.	Grade A, if each Department publishes one paper Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one paper each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one paper each	Grade A, if each Department publishes one book Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one book each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one book each	Grade A, if each Department publishes one paper Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one paper each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one paper each	Research Publicaton and Awards	Grade A If Centre for Innovation, Incubation and Entrepreneurship facility is established and facilitated atleast one start up; Grade B If only innovation facility is established Grade C If no such centre is established till now.	Grade A, If completely extended Grade B, If partially extended Grade C, If there is no funding
С	С	С	С	C	6	c	c
<u></u>	C	C	, C	C		C	ر د

. .

Awards and Anievments for Research Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Conducted in colloboration with Community/HOCs through RECONSYNCC/Red Cross/YRC/Swachh RECONSYNCC/Red Cross/Red E for A if more than
AC and verified by Grade B, if z Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considerina all two years) Extension Activities Grade B, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 7 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 7 activities are conducted Grade C, if < 7 activities are conducted Grade C, if < 7 activities are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade B, if one Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade B, if one Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Awards are bagged Grade B, if awards are bagged Grade B, if more than 25,000/- generated through consultancy Grade A, if more than 10,000/- generated through consultancy Grade A, if more than 2 Faculty member exchanged Grade A, if more than 2 Faculty member A exchanged Grade C, if one Students exchanged Grade C, if one Students exchanged Grade C, if one Student exchanged Grade C, if one Student exchanged
than 3 Awards are bagged ward is bagged two years) ctivities are conducted tivities are conducted ctivities are bagged ards are bagged ward is bagged two years) than 3 Awards are bagged ward is bagged two years) than 2 Swards are bagged ward is bagged than 10,000/- generated than 25,000/- generated culty member exchanged than 2 Students exchanged lent exchanged culty member exchanged lent exchanged culty member exchanged lent exchanged culty deletion of the conducted culty member exchanged lent exchanged lent exchanged culty member exchanged lent exchan

C. Internship C. Internship D. Field trips E. OJTs E. OJTs D. Field trips E. OJTs E. OJTs Details of MOUs with national and international Institutions, Universities, Industries, Corporate houses etc Whether the institution conducted workshop/seminars on Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Industry-Academia Innovative practices Overall Grade IV - INFRASTRUCTURE & LEARNING RESO Details of Library Automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation C. Year and Version Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation Details of Library as Scatus of automation Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation Details of Library Automation Details of Library Software ib. Scatus of automation Details of Library Automation	ω	2	9-3	>	T		11	g			
Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade C, if 5 Students attended Grade A, if all Departments conducted Grade B, if 50% Departments conducted Grade C, if below 50% Departments Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade A, if all Departments have functional MOUS Grade B, if 50% Departments have functional MOUS Grade C, if below 50% Departments have functional MOUS Grade A, if all Departments have functional MOUS Grade B, if 1 workshop/seminar is conducted Grade C, if no workshop/seminar is conducted Grade A, if 100% automation is done Grade B, if partially done Grade A, if All the Teachers and Students make use of the e-resources Grade C, if below 50% Teachers and Students make use of the e-resources Grade A, if more than 60% Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade C, if less than 50% Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade C, if less than 50% Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade C, if less than 50% Teachers and Students make use of Library	Daily usage of Library by teachers and students (percentage)	(4)	Details of Library Automation a. Name of the iLMS software b. Status of automation c. Year and Version			Overall Grade			E OJTs	D. Field ਧਾਂps	C Internship
inded led led led locted Grade lucted Grade lucted finents fents attended A red ave functional criments have lelow 50% I MOUS I MOUS I MOUS Criments are Schop/seminar Cted B format-I) format-I) format-I) format-II lis done Grade A lis done Grade A lis done Grade A lis done Grade A chers and Students chers and chers and chers and	Librarian's Report on regular footfall and e- footfall validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Librarian's Report on subscription and usage of e-resourses validated by IQAC and verified by Prindpal	Librarian's Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Details of Library as	IV - INFRASTRUCTURE & LEARNING RESC		Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	1. Properly signed MOUs 2. Department wise Report on follow up Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal			validated by IQAC and verified by Principal 2. Properly signed MOUs
	Grade A, If more than 60% Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade B, if 50% of Teachers and Students make use of Library Grade C, if less than 50% Teachers and Students Students make use of Library	Grade A, if All the Teachers and Students make use of the e- resources Grade B, if 50% of Teachers and Students make use of the e-resources Grade C, if below 50% Teachers and Students make use of the e-resources	Grade A, if 100% automation is done Grade B, if partially done Grade C, if not automated	s a Learning Resource	OURCES (Not covered under format - I)		Grade A, if 2 workshops/seminars are conducted Grade B, if 1 workshop/seminar is conducted Grade C, if no workshop/seminar is conducted	Grade A, if all Departments have functional Grade A, if all Departments have functional MOUs Grade B, if 50% Departments have functional MOUs Grade C, if below 50% Departments have functional MOUs	Grade A, if more than 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended	Grade A, If all Departments conducted Grade B, If 50% Departments conducted Grade C, If below 50% Departments	Grade A, if more than 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade C, if 5 Students attended
	æ	C	>			В	В	c	>	>	>
	В	7	A			24	Ø	7	D	A	A

80	В	7		6		_	5	4		Т	ω
Students' Progression to Higher Education		Record of Women Empowerment Cell (WEC)			The Institution has a transparent mechanism for timely redressal of: A Sexual harassment		Percentage of students participated and presented papers/ posters in the state level/national levels academic/research plafrorms out side the parent institution.		Student grievances Redressal mechanism		Average Percentage of students benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counseling by the Institution during last 2 years (only final year students)
Department wise Reports with proof or Admission Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Student	1. WEC Meeting Minutes 2. Committee Report on activities 3. Report on Gender sensitisation and related activities		redressal 3.Proofs and Meeting Minutes	 Anti ragging Policy and Policy to prevent Sexual harrassment Committee(s) Report(s) about grievance 		 Department wise reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal 	Report by GRC incharge validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	1. Student grievances Redressal Policy 2.		1.Records by the JKC and Departments 2. Proof of employment
Grade B, if only reports and proofs are available Grade C, if no record is maintained	Student Progression	Grade A, if All the 3 are covered Grade B, if any 2 are covered, Grade C, if only WEC exists			Grade A, if All the 3 aspects are covered Grade B, if any 2 aspects are covered, Grade C, if only policies exist		Grade A, if 50% of students participate Grade B, if 30% of Students participate Grade C, if less than 30% of Students participate	as per the policy Grade C, if less than 50% of grievances are redressed as per the policy	per the policy Grade B, if 50% of grievances are redressed	Grade A, if all grievances are redressed as	Grade A, if more than 50% of students placed Grade B, if 30% of students placed Grade C, if less than 30% of students placed
b		⊳	T		t	1	C			В	В
4	3	⊅			Ø		U		7	20	В
,											

				,		_				
15	14				13	C	12	11	10	9
Number of sports and cultural activities / competitions organised in the Institution 15 for the last 2 years	Presence of an active Student Council & representation of students on academic & 14 administrative bodies/committees of the institution	d) International Level	b) State Level c) National Level	a) University Level	No. of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities (State/National/International/University levels only)		Feed back from Employees on Online Web Job Portal, APSSDC(AP State Skill Development Corporation),SSC (Skill Sector Council)	Percentage of students qualifying NET/SET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/TOFEL/Civ il Services/ State Govt Examinations (Qualified students/Total No of students x 100)	Students' Progression to Entrepreneurship	Students' Progression to Employment
Committee reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	 Committees List Student Council Report 				Consolidated Reports by the Committees, validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Student Participa	Feed back consolidated Report from JKC	1. Department wise Reports with proofs 2. Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	1. Department wise Reports with proofs 2. Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	 Department wise Reports with proof of Joining 2. Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal
Grade A, if 5 events/ competitions organized at national level Grade B, if 5 events/ competitions organized at State level Grade C. if events at district level	Grade A, if active student council exists along with reports Grade B, if there is only active student council Grade C, if nothing exists				Grade A, if International and National Level Grade B, if University and State level Grade C, if below State level	Student Participation and Activities	Grade A, if any 3 companies/ Employers offer feed back Grade B, if any 2 companies/ Employers offer feed back Grade C, if only 1 company/ Employer offer feed back	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, If only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained
В	B				ი		В	С	С	В
Ø	\mathcal{B}				U		\mathcal{B}	7	2	B

.

					T	7	7				T	-
ν	4	Scaff Council Register (Minutes of meetings)	2	-	A			19 N	18 A	17 th	믜	16 for
Number of RTI issues addressed	Implementation of OTLP	Explain the Institutional Practices like decentralization and participative management to show case effective leadership	Mechanism of achieving the Vision and Mission of the Insitution			Overall Grade	Number of Alumni Association Chapters / meetings held during the last 2 years	18 Alumni contribution for the last 2 years	Is there registered Alumni Association for the institution? If yes, year of registration and number		Percentage of Student participation in sports and cultural events/ competitions for the last 2 years (Programme wise) No of students participated/Total No of students x 100	
RTI file with applications, responses and registers	Grade report	Meeting Minutes and Resolutions of Staff Council	List of Committees showing decentralization Meeting Minutes and Action Plans by all committees	Institutional Strategic Plan to achieve vision and Mission with stage wise proofs	Institutional Visi	VI-GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP		lutes d reports	Alumni Register to be verified along with audited statements of amount (in cash/Kind) donated	Registration certificate to be verified	Alumni En	Committee reports validated by IQAC and corrified by Principal
within the stipulated time Grade B, if the applications are not disposed within the stipulated time within the stipulated time Grade C, if record is not properly maintained	throughout the year Grade B, if the Institution achieves Grade A ocassionally Grade C, if the Institution achieves B & C Grade A if all the applications disposed	implements resolutions through meetings Grade B, if Staff Council resolutions properly recorded Grade C, if Staff Council is formed Grade A, if the Institution schowes Grade A				ND LEADERSHIP		Grade A, if 4 and above Grade B, If 2 and above Grade C, if one and below	Grade A, If 2 lakhs and above Grade B, if 1 lakh above Grade C, If below one lakh	Grade A, if Alumni Association is registered and active; Grade B, if Association is registered Grade C. If Association is not registered	Alumni Engagement	Grade A, If 50% of students participate Grade B, If 30% of Students participate Grade C, If less than 30% of Students participate
	> ;	> ;	> ¤	ъ Б				В	B)	C
7	>	D	, 0	0	2			B	Ø	7	7	C

Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges Institutional Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal Faculty Empow Faculty Emp				Financial Management and Resource Mobilization	Financial Managemen	D	
Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges prepared Grade B, if Gnly heirarchy is presented incharges Institutional e - governance Softwares and records to be verified Grade A, if any 3 components exist Grade B, if Consolidated report by Principal exist Faculty Empowerment Strategies Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated Principal Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated Principal Consolidated Principal Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated Principal Cons		D		accreditated at "Good" grade Grade B, if more than 50 % teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade Grade C, if less than 50% teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade	ASAR reports	Details of performance appraisal system 11 designed by instituion for Teaching and non- teaching staff	= T
Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges prepared incharges Institutional e - governance Softwares and records to be verified Grade A, if any 3 components exist Grade B, if Consolidated report by Principal exist Faculty Empowerment Strategies Faculty Empowerment Strategies Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated by IQAC and Verified by Principal validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal than 3 programmes are organized Grade C, if less than 50% staff are funded organized Grade B, if more than 5 programmes are organized Grade C, if less than 3 programmes are or		n (Details of teachers undergoing online/ offline faculty development programmes during the last two years (Professional Develelopment Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course/ Short Term Course etc	10
Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges prepared incharges Institutional e - governance Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal Faculty Empowerment Strategies Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal Consolidated Teport by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal incharges prepared Grade B, if Only heirarchy is presented Grade C, if Organogram is not prepared A if any 3 components exist Grade B, if any 2 components exist Grade C, if nothing exist Grade A, if any 3 components exist Grade B, if any 2 components exist Grade B, if nothing exist Grade B, if only heirarchy is presented Grade C, if Organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if onthing exist Grade B, if only heirarchy is presented Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade B, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared any 2 components exist Grade C, if organogram is not prepared		6	0	Grade A, if more than 5 programmes are organized Grade B, if more than 3 programmes are organized Grade C, if less than 3 programmes are organized		Details of professional development / administrative training programs organized by the institution for teaching and non teaching staff during the last two years	9
Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges prepared incharges Institutional e - governance Softwares and records to be verified Consolidated report by Principal components exist Grade C, if nothing exist Consolidated report by Principal exist		6	C	erment Strategies Grade A, if more than 75% staff are funded Grade B, if more than 50% staff are funded Grade C, if less than 50% staff are funded	Faculty Empow Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal	Details of financial support to attend conference / workshop and membership for professional bodies for the last 2 years	8 C
Organog incharge			A			c) Student Admissions and support d) Examinations	
Organog		 		any 2 components exist Grade C, if nothing exist	Consolidated report by Principal	a) Administration b) Finance and Accounts	= 0
Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges prepared incharges Grade B, if Only heirarchy is pres Grade C, if Organogram is not pre				e - governance Grade A, if any 3 components exist Grade B, if	Institutional Softwares and records to be verified	Implementation of e - governance in	7 B
$\overline{}$		7	>	Grade A, if Organogram with hierarchy incharges prepared Grade B, if Only heirarchy is presented Grade C, if Organogram is not prepared	Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges	Organizational structure of the Institution	6

***	15			44	to the second	F 4	52
A) Promotion of gender equality and sensitiztion a) Safety and security b) Counselling c) Common Rooms for women students d) Details about sensitization activities	Details of Activities by the Institution for		Overall Grade	15 Participation in NIRF	Significant Contribution of IQAC for quality a. Strategies and Plan of Action b. Review mechanisms of Teaching learning process, methodologies and learning outcomes by IQAC c. Details of AQARs prepared and submitted d. Number of IQAC meetings held during the current year c. Average number of quality initiatives by IQAC for promoting quality culture per year	runds / Grants received from nongovernment bodies, individuals, philanthropists (other than research)	Institutional mechanism for internal and external financial audits
Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, if all 4 reports are pro and activities validated by IQAC and verified if any 3 are present by Principal Grade C, if any 2 or below	Venatines may regent	VII-INSTITUTIONAL VALUES AND BEST PRACTICES		1. Record of submission 2. Proof of Rank, If any.	 IQAC Activity register Action Plan Minutes of Meeting ATR Proof of submission of AQAR to NAAC last two years Hard copies of AQARs submitted 	Consolidated Report verified by Princpal Internal Quality	1. Internal AuditCommittee constitution Circular 2. Financial Audit Reports
s Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present Grade C, if any 2 or below	VCDAIRES DIAC REACH ANDRES OF THE INSTITUTION	ES AND BEST PRACTICES		Grade A, if ranked Grade B, if participated Grade C, if not participated	Grade A, if all the records are maintained Grade B, if the first four records are maintained Grade C,if any 3 records or below are maintained	Grade A, if more than Rs. 3 lakhs received d by Princpal Grade C, if less than Rs. 1 lakh received Internal Quality Assurance System	Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only external audit is conducted Grade C, if no audit record is maintained
>			В	В	>		C
A			2	B	A	U	7

В					
	F) Divyangan friendly barrier - free environment a)Ramps b)Railings c)Scribe for examination d)Provision of lift/Wheel chairs e) Alternative arrangements for Divyangan	E) Green campus initiatives a) Plastic free campus (Ban on the use of Plastics) b) Botanical garden c) Green house d) Green landscaping e) Green audit f) Battery vehicles	D) Water Conservation a)Rain water harvesting b)Borewell /Open well recharge	C) Waste Management a) Solid waste management b) Liquid waste management c) Lab Waste management d) E-waste management	B) Environmental consciousness and sustainability a) Solar energy, b) Sensor-based energy conservation, c) Use of LED bulbs/ power efficient equipment
Initiatives for	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, If all 4 reports are presen and activities validated by IQAC and verified lif any 3 are present by Principal Grade C, If any 2 or below	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, If all 6 reports are present Grade B, and activities validated by IQAC and verified	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, If all 2 reports are present Grade B, and activities validated by IQAC and verified	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, If all 4 reports are present and activities validated by IQAC and verified Grade C, If any 2 or below by Principal	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details Grade A, If all 3 reports are present and activities validated by IQAC and verified If any 2 are present by Principal Grade C, If any one is present
Initiatives for inculcating values	s Grade A, If all 4 reports are present Grade B, If any 3 are present Grade C, If any 2 or below	s Grade A, if all 6 reports are present Grade B, if any 4 are present Grade C, if any 3 or below	Grade A, If all 2 reports are present Grade B, If any one is present Grade C, If nothing is present	Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present Grade C, if any 2 or below	Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present
	В	ш	В	₩	>
	Ø	B	B	B	\triangleright

		D			C			ω			\neg			Ī				8	2		
Overall Grade	Explain how disctinct is your institution in academic and administrative practices: i		II	-	Best Practices	2	b)Fundamental rights and duties c)Responsibilities of citizenship.	stice	obligations/ awareness programmes on Constitutional values	of the Institution to the constitutional	ition of students and employees		d) Celebration of National and International commemorative days and		c) Code of condct for students and teachers	ethics	v)Socio - Economic)Linguistic		a) Tolerance and harmony against several	Cross cutting issues and value initiatives
	Grade A, if Academic ar Evidence with clear cut examples - Document practices are produced in 200 words no document is availab		NAAC	i) Departmental Best Practices Reportin the NAAC prescribed format ii) Institutional Best Practice Report in the	Record of two best/innovative practices by the	,	and verified by Principal	on details and activities validated by IQAC				and	College Activity Register & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IOAC	IQAC and verified by	Approved Policy & Report on implementation with details validated by	Syllabus copy, College Activity Register & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal		and verified by Principal	College Activity Register & Report focusing		
	Grade A, if Academic and Administrative practices are produced Grade B, if only one is produced Grade C, if no document is available	Institutional Distinctiveness		Grade A, If all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade B, Grade C, if nothing is present			Grade C, if any one is present	Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present			CIAAC OF HOSTING TO PLOODIE	Grade C. if nothing is present	Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present	Grade C, if nothing is present	Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present	Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present		Grade C, if any 3 or below	Grade A, if all 5 reports are present Grade B,		
В	В				В					ê	A		>		Α	>					>
B	B	_			В				,		>	コ	>		>	A					D
		-																			

Name & Signature of the Principal: Name & Signature of the Vice-Principal: Note: This format is to be filled by the Principal and submitted to the Academic Audit Team. College Grade -Name & Signatures of the Academic Advisors 1 M. Bri Kins & ... 2/1/100 (Britwantum The)
2 B. ReJu Proposinous Ø